Roll Call Number

Date.....

Agenda Item Number



WHEREAS, the City Plan and Zoning Commission has advised that at a public hearing held on April 19, 2007, its members voted 12-0 to recommend **APPROVAL** of a request from Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company (developer) represented by Douglas Gross (agent) to rezone property located at 1206 Mulberry Street from "C-3A" Central Business District Support Commercial District to "C-3" Central Business District Support Commercial District to "C-3" Central Business District to allow construction of an eight-story off-street parking structure with the recommendation to both the City Council and the Urban Renewal Board that the architecture of the garage accommodate adaptive use fronting on Mulberry Street rather than a structural wall that would preclude other uses.

The subject property is owned by William C. Knapp, L.C. represented by Gerard Neugent and is more specifically described as follows:

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 and all that part of the vacated north and south allev lying between said lots 2 and 3, and all that part of the vacated north and south alley lying between said lots 6 and 7; the vacated east-west alley lying west of the southerly extended east line of Lot I. Block 30. Keene and Poindexter's Addition to Fort Des Moines, to the southerly extended west line of Lot 4, Block 30, Keene and Poindexter's Addition to Fort Des Moines; all the vacated Cherry Street right-of-way lying South of and adjoining Lots 5, 6 and 7, and lying South of and adjoining the vacated 13th Street right-of-way lying West of and adjoining said Lot 5, and lying South of and adjoining the vacated North/South alley rightof-way between said Lots 6 and 7, and lying South of and adjoining the West 54.46 feet of Lot 8, of Block 30, Keene & Poindexter's Addition; and all the vacated 13th Street right-of-way lying West of and adjoining the South 118.0 feet of Lot 4, and lying West of and adjoining Lot 5, and lying West of and adjoining the vacated East/West alley right-of-way between said Lots 4 and 5, all in Block 30 in Keene & Poindexter's Addition to Fort Des Moines, an Official Plat, all now included in and forming a part of the City of Des Moines, Polk County, Iowa.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Des Moines, Iowa, as follows:

1. That the meeting of the City Council at which the proposed rezoning is to be considered shall be held in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Des Moines, Iowa at 5:00 p.m. on May 21, 2007, at which time the City Council will hear both those who oppose and those who favor the proposal.

(Continued)

Agenda Item Number 30

Date

-2-

2. That the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to cause notice of said proposal in the accompanying form to be given by publication once, not less than seven (7) days and not more than twenty (20) days before the date of hearing, all as specified in Section 362.3 and Section 414.4 of the Iowa Code.

MOVED by ______ to adopt.

FORM APPROVED:

Roger K. Brown

Assistant City Attorney

(ZON2007-00030)

COUNCIL ACTION	YEAS	NAYS	PASS	ABSENT	CERTIFICATE
COWNIE					
COLEMAN					I, DIANE RAUH, City Clerk of said City hereby
HENSLEY					certify that at a meeting of the City Council of said City of Des Moines, held on the above date,
KIERNAN					among other proceedings the above was adopted.
MAHAFFEY					
MEYER					IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
VLASSIS					hand and affixed my seal the day and year first above written.
TOTAL					
MOTION CARRIED			A	PPROVED	
				Mayor	City Clerk

Request from Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company (developer) represented by Douglas Gross (agent) to rezone property located at 1206 Mulberry Street. The subject						File #			
						206 Mulberry Si ited by Gerard N		subject	ZON2007-00030
Description of Action	3" Cent	tral Bu		istrict Comi					cial District to "C- an eight-story off-
2020 Commun Character Plar			Downto	wn: Suppo	rt C	ommercial.			
Horizon 2025 Transportation Plan			No Planned Improvements.						
Current Zoning District		t	"C-3A" Central Business District Support Commercial District.						
Proposed Zoning District		rict	"C-3" Central Business District Commercial District.						
Consent Card Responses Inside Area Outside Area		In Favor			Not In Favor Undeter		mined	% Opposition	
Plan and Zonin Commission A	ng	App Deni	roval al	12-0		Required 6/7 the City Coun	Vote of	Yes No	×

30

Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co. - 12th St to 13th & Mulberry St to Cherry St ZON2007-00030



May 7, 2007

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Des Moines, Iowa

Members:

OC DEL MOIDE

CITY PLAN AND ZONING COMMISSION

ARMORY BUILDING 602 ROBERT D. RAY DRIVE DES MOINES, IOWA 50309 –1881

> ALL-AMERICA CITY 1949, 1976, 1981 2003

(515) 283-4182

Communication from the City Plan and Zoning Commission advising that at their meeting held April 19, 2007, the following action was taken:

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

After public hearing, the members voted 12-0 as follows:

Commission Action:	Yes	Nays	Pass	Absent
David Cupp				Х
Shirley Daniels	Х			
Dann Flaherty	Х			
Bruce Heilman	Х			
Jeffrey Johannsen	Х			
Greg Jones	Х			
Frances Koontz	Х			
Kaye Lozier				Х
Jim Martin				Х
Brian Millard	Х			
Brook Rosenberg	Х			
Mike Simonson	Х			
Kent Sovern	X			
Tim Urban	Х			
Marc Wallace	Х			

APPROVAL of a request from Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company (developer) represented by Douglas Gross (agent) to amend the Des Moines 2020 Community Character Plan designation from Downtown Support Commercial to Downtown High Amenity Office/Institutional for property located at 1206 Mulberry Street as more specifically shown on the accompanying map. The subject property is owned by William C. Knapp, L.C. represented by Gerard Neugent. (21-2007-4.02)

By same motion and vote, members recommended **APPROVAL** of a request to rezone subject property from "C-3A" Central Business District Support Commercial District to "C-3" Central Business District Commercial District, to allow construction of an eight-story off-street parking structure with the recommendation to both the City Council and the Urban Renewal Board that the architecture of the garage accommodate adaptive use fronting on Mulberry Street rather than a structural wall that would preclude other uses. (ZON2007-00030)

Written Responses 7 In Favor 0 In Opposition

This item would not require a 6/7 vote of City Council.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND BASIS FOR APPROVAL

Part A) Staff recommends the proposed rezoning be found not in conformance with the Des Moines' 2020 Community Character Plan.

Part B & C) Staff recommends approval of the requested land use plan amendment and rezoning.

STAFF REPORT

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

- Purpose of Request: The applicant is proposing to clear the subject site and construct an eight-story parking garage to support the expansion of the Allied Insurance Headquarters to the north. The proposed parking garage will exceed the height limitations of the "C-3A" District. The subject property adjoins the "C-3" District to the east.
- 2. Size of Site: 78,680 square feet.
- 3. Existing Land Use (site): Two office buildings and surface parking.

4. Adjacent Land Use and Zoning:

North - "C-3A"; Uses are office and warehousing.

South - "C-3B"; Uses are surface parking and vacant land.

East - "C-3" & "C-3A"; Uses are office and warehousing.

West - "C-3B"; Uses are surface parking and vacant land.

- 6. General Neighborhood/Area Land Uses: The subject property is located at the southwestern fringe of the downtown core. It is two blocks south of the Western Gateway Park and two blocks north of West M.L. King Jr. Parkway.
- 7. Applicable Recognized Neighborhood(s): Downtown Des Moines Neighborhood.
- 8. Applicable Regulations: The Commission reviews all proposals to amend zoning regulations or zoning district boundaries within the City of Des Moines. Such amendments must be in conformance with the comprehensive plan for the City and designed to meet the criteria in §414.3 of the Iowa Code. The Commission may recommend that certain conditions be applied to the subject property if the property owner agrees in writing, in addition to the existing regulations. The recommendation of the Commission will be forwarded to the City Council.

II. ADDITIONAL APPLICABLE INFORMATION

- 1. Site Plan Requirements: The proposed development will be required to meet all of the City's site plan requirements, including landscaping and storm water management.
- 2. 2020 Community Character Plan: The proposed rezoning will require the 2020 Community Character Plan to be amended from Support Commercial to High Amenity Office/Institutional. The High Amenity Office/Institutional Land Use Designation is intended to strengthen and compliment facilities in the retail and office core and to provide buildings for office, institutional, cultural, and recreational uses and hotels. The proposed parking garage supports the expansion of the Allied Insurance Headquarters, which is a major employer in the downtown and in turn supports the overall vitality of the downtown.

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION

Erik Lundy: Presented staff report and recommendation.

Fran Koontz: Asked if there were any Capitol View problems.

Larry Hulse: Noted there was nothing in the area.

<u>Erik Lundy</u>: Noted the subject area is lower and the first and second and third floor levels will not see the Capitol and should not impact any protection corridors.

<u>Brook Rosenberg</u>: Asked about the City doing a large parking facility to the south of the subject site.

<u>Larry Hulse</u>: Noted in some of the Gateway planning there was a design scheme to work the topography and do parking and have development on it as well, but there are no real proposals other than the subject request.

<u>Erik Lundy</u>: Noted the structure will be 8 stories and 75' would be 5 stories, which would stay under the 75' limit so it will be another 15' to 20' higher.

<u>Roger Brown</u>: Emphasized the subject project would not return to the Commission. The parking garage is being constructed pursuant to an Urban Renewal agreement that has already been executed with the City of Des Moines so it will go through the Urban Design Review Board and City Council for approval.

<u>Tim Urban</u>: Asked if there is a concern about the incorporation of mixed use in the parking structure having some street front retail, etc., the Commission has no voice and the developer can decide just to have a parking structure from the ground up because there are no requirements for ground level retail being imposed.

<u>Larry Hulse</u>: Noted the Commission could offer a recommendation to the City Council to look at the Urban Renewal requirements/negotiations.

Dann Flaherty: Asked if the Commission could request the item return before final approval.

<u>Roger Brown</u>: Noted the City Council has already approved and executed an Urban Renewal contract for the subject property, which identifies that for the project to move forward the site will be used for a parking garage. There has been no provision in the agreement for retail uses. Suggested the idea was to push the retail uses closer to the Gateway Parkway or downtown core and the area has been viewed more as a support area and the goal has been not to loot the retail by spreading it this far to the south and west.

Fran Koontz: Asked if the Commission was merely a rubber stamp.

<u>Larry Hulse</u>: Noted there is a difference between the zoning request before the Commission and the Urban Renewal Agreements, which work on the actual development. The Commission's action is only for the rezoning request.

Erik Lundy: Noted the retail component is permitted with either the current or proposed zoning.

<u>Larry Hulse</u>: Noted the way the ramps are constructed are so the heights could be converted, but the primary purpose is for the parking.

<u>Tim Urban</u>: Reminded the Commission of the old Meadow Gold building and the discussion regarding the frontage on Grand Avenue. Noted the end result is an unsightly façade on a high

visibility street area that went through the Urban Review Committee and Architectural review. Stressed it would be a mistake for the Commission not to offer any oversight or direction. Suggested architecturally, precluding store front display in the mixed use areas with buildings that already have that character would be a mistake.

<u>Bruce Heilman</u>: Noted it is a bit unusual, and if the Commission votes against it they have to have a reason to and the design aspects are not in the Commission's purview.

Tim Urban: Noted the Commission puts restrictions on zoning all the time.

Larry Hulse: Explained the restrictions on zoning cannot be forced on the owner.

<u>Bruce Heilman</u>: Agreed the Commission could send recommendations along with any votes. There is no valid defensible reason not to go ahead with it.

Fran Koontz: Noted the Commission has seen the type of buildings that are regrettable.

<u>Dann Flaherty</u>: Asked what would happen if the request were tabled relative to the City Council's ability to hear the recommendations.

<u>Roger Brown</u>: Deferred to the applicant regarding their time schedule for completing the design. Indicated the change of zoning is for the change in height, which allows a smaller footprint. Explained the footprint for the building will fit on the site that is shown by being the height proposed. The City is buying the two green blocks to the west and the south, which leaves the City with a very large tract for development in the future. If Nationwide is not allowed to permitted to build the taller building the footprint will have to expand. The developer can, by right, build a shorter, wider building or a smaller, taller building. The question for the Commission is if the area is appropriate for C-3 Downtown Commercial District or if it should remain C-3A Downtown Support.

<u>Tim Urban</u>: Did not think the issue was whether they should receive the higher option, but what capability the Commission had in improving the zoning to incorporate some design criteria either prescriptively in the zoning approval or by recommendation.

<u>Larry Hulse</u>: Noted the Commission has no ability to prescribe in any zoning case; the applicant has to agree to it. Noted the Commission can add a recommendation that the City Council look seriously at the adaptability of the first floor and explained they discuss that with all the ramps but the problem is in getting it to happen in market conditions.

<u>Kent Sovern</u>: Suggested a good evidence of the Allied Nationwide intent was the design that fronts Walnut adjacent to the Hotel Fort Des Moines, in which they have a design, which could be adaptable if the market should enable the reestablishment of retail along Walnut just as the parking ramp at Court Avenue behind the Federal Building is adaptable. Suggested the Commission should move on the request and wait for the hearing.

<u>Mary Ericson</u>, Brown, Winnick Law Firm, 666 Grand Avenue: Represented Nationwide. Pointed out that on the next item, under the development agreement they are going to consider the retail so it would be a viable item. It is a market-driven: what will be needed and what could be put in.

<u>Tim Urban</u>: Noted since the Commission has no oversight on the architecture they can either propose conditional rezoning in which the petitioner can either accept or reject, or make a recommendation that the petitioner incorporates certain issues within the proposal once they bring it forward and complete the Urban Renewal agreement, but the Commission has no way of enforcing that. Questioned whether there has been any consideration or if they have completed any plans for the building to show a capability of having the frontage on Mulberry adaptive to other uses other than just a louvered wall or some type of barrier that would reflect parking forever behind that wall.

Mary Ericson: Noted the design was approved and shows parking.

Fran Koontz: Noted it is not market driven if a building is built that is a barrier to adaptive reuse.

<u>Mary Ericson</u>: Indicated the alternative is that they can put it in the new service center. Does not want it to look like Nationwide is oblivious to the corporate needs.

<u>Tim Urban</u>: Noted the agenda item regards a parking structure fronting on Mulberry. There are other buildings on Mulberry and proximity that have store fronts and building fronts. There are office uses, warehouse uses and service uses. It is not a retail environment, but they do have other utilization frontage other than a blind or louvered wall, which is not only unsightly architecturally, but it is also not adaptive if it structurally precludes from being converted to other uses.

Mary Ericson: Noted Urban Renewal has approved the concept but not the design.

<u>Larry Hulse</u>: Noted it is difficult for Nationwide or anyone to bounce between different Boards and Commissions on design questions.

CHAIRPERSON OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING

There was no one in the audience to speak on this item.

CHAIRPERSON CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING

<u>Tim Urban</u>: Moved to approve staff recommendation with a Commission recommendation to both the City Council and the Urban Renewal Board that they consider the architecture of the garage accommodate adaptive use fronting on Mulberry Street rather than a structural wall that would preclude other uses.

<u>Brian Millard</u>: Noted this would be highly visible to the Gateway and MLK and he was hopeful the Council and Urban Renewal Board would look at the structure to make sure it is attractive if they are going to approve it.

<u>Marc Wallace</u>: Commented on the importance of considerations for reuse. Was not concerned geographically with the expansion of the downtown core as a result of the streets, but the Commission does need to foresee what is being done. Agreed with the recommendation.

<u>Brook Rosenberg</u>: Agreed with the recommendation as well. Noted some Commissioners attended the downtown project overview and one of the speakers spoke about what most of the discussion was brick and mortar and not the vision. Suggested it to be a perception that there may be favorable dealings going on with a very large corporate entity, because other developers have been restricted and he did not think justice was being done. Did not think there was anything wrong with the project, just concerned about perceptions.

Motion passed 12-0 to find it not in conformance with the Des Moines' 2020 Community Character Plan.

Motion passed 12-0 to amend the Des Moines' 2020 Community Character Plan future land use designation from Downtown Support Commercial to Downtown High Amenity Office/Institutional.

Motion passed 12-0 to rezone subject property from "C-3A" Central Business District Support Commercial District to "C-3" Central Business District Commercial District, to allow construction of an eight-story off-street parking structure with the recommendation to both the City Council and the Urban Renewal Board that the architecture of the garage accommodate adaptive use fronting on Mulberry Street rather than a structural wall that would preclude other uses. Respectfully submitted,

Euk M Z-Z Erik Lundy, AICP Senior Planner

EML:dfa

Attachment

4-12-07 2007 00030 Date_ hem I (am) (am not) in favor of the request. STIZBLE ELEC (Circle One) RECEIVED Arry W Strong **Print** Nam APR 1 6 2007 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENTenature DEPARTMENT 10712th Streer Address Reason for opposing or approving this request may be listed below:

Secause we haven been Would only oppose Shown widening plans for 12th Street & how an Suilding would be affe Are such plans Available?

Date 4-15-07 William C Knapp L.C 2007 00020 Item (am not) in favor of the request. I ((am)) (Circle One) RECEIVED Print Name agut APK 1 7 2007 Sign 200 Address 1 Reason for opposing or approving this request may be listed below: 4/12/07 2007 00030 Date Item (I (am) (am not) in favor of the request. (Circle One) RECEIVED ARROLL ATTHEW Print Non APR 1 6 2007 Signature COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Street #504 Des Moines DEPARTMENT Address 50309 TA Reason for opposing or approving this request may be listed below

RECEIVED Print Name HP21 Opph APR 1 6 2007 Signature Signature COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Address (300 yrb.)
Reason for opposing or approving this request may be listed below:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,
Item 2007 00030 Date 4-15-07
I (m) (am not) in favor of the request
(Circle One) RECEIVED APR 17711 APR 17711 Signature Linut Munent me
RECEIVED Print Name
APR 17 11 Signature Dured Hungart me
COMMUNITY UNELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Address 4949 Weatown PKwa 42
Reason for opposing or approving this request may be listed below: WDM, IA 50206

			rate_ <u>4-23-0</u>	7
Item <u>2()(</u>			ate <u>1 ()</u>	
(Circle One)	in favor of the reque	€.		
		-	· al	1
RE	CEIVED	Print Name	ina ell	(n)
٨٥٥	2 7 2007	Signature	inac	<u>````</u>
			114 213	UN
COMMUNI	TY DEVELOPMEN	Address		10
Reason for opposi	ing or approving this	request may be listed belo	w:	
<u> </u>				
			-	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	·····			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·			
9.0.4) rt - D 0 0 9	0		3-12-7
	07 0003		Vate (1 - 1 3	8-07
I (am) (am not)	0 7 0 0 0 3) in favor of the reque)ate3	8-07
I (am) (am not) (Circle One)) in favor of the reque		Vate (1 - 1 3	8-07
I (am) (am not) (Circle One)) in favor of the reque		0ate_1/-13	8-07
I (am) (am not (Circle One)) in favor of the reque	st. Print Name	$\frac{(1-13)}{\sqrt{2}}$	3-07
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE) in favor of the reque	st. Print Name	Date 1/-/3	
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE) in favor of the reque	st. Print Name	li. Ke	-07
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE API	CEIVED R 1 7 2007	st. Print Name_fm. Signature_fdlun NT Address_#308	4. 1/2 # 404 M	
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE API	CEIVED R 1 7 2007	st. Print Name Signature TAddress request may be listed below	4. 1/2 # 404 M	
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE API	CEIVED R 1 7 2007	st. Print Name_fm. Signature_fdlun NT Address_#308	4. 1/2 # 404 M	
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE API	CEIVED R 1 7 2007	st. Print Name Signature TAddress request may be listed below	4. 1/2 # 404 M	
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE API	CEIVED R 1 7 2007	st. Print Name Signature TAddress request may be listed below	4. 1/2 # 404 M	
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE API	CEIVED R 1 7 2007	st. Print Name Signature TAddress request may be listed below	4. 1/2 # 404 M	
I (am) (am not (Circle One) RE API	CEIVED R 1 7 2007	st. Print Name Signature TAddress request may be listed below	4. 1/2 # 404 M	