~ R	oli Cali	Num	ber			Agenda Item Number			
Date	Octobe	r 23, 20	)17						
]	RESOLU	TION S				REQUEST FROM GNY INVESTMENTS, LLC T CRTY AT 1517 23 <sup>RD</sup> STREET	O		
5, 2 Inv ("F	2017, its neestments, Property")	nembers LLC (c from "	s voted 1 owner), 1 R1-60"	1-0 in s epresen One-Fa	support of a ted by Jen amily Low	ission has advised that at a public hearing held on Oct a motion to recommend <b>DENIAL</b> of a request from On Ho Go (officer), to rezone property at 1517 23 <sup>rd</sup> Sy-Density Residential District to "R-3" Multiple-Faused for a four-unit multi-family dwelling; and	GNY treet		
W	HEREAS,	, the Pro	perty to	be rezo	ned is lega	lly described as follows:			
	ts 12 and i					Official Plat, all now included in and forming a part of	f the		
	OW, THE	REFOR	RE, BE	IT RES	SOLVED,	by the City Council of the City of Des Moines, Iowa	ı, as		
2.	That the at the Ric Parkway, hear both That the accompany	meeting chard A, Des M those w City C nying for 20) days	of the C Clark M oines, Ic who oppo Clerk is orm to be	City Cou Municip owa, at to ose and hereby e given	ncil at whit al Service 5:00 p.m. of those who authorized by publica	Plan and Zoning Commission is hereby received and finish the proposed rezoning is to be considered shall be Center (MSC), located at 1551 E. Martin Luther Kington November 6, 2017, at which time the City Council favor the proposal.  In addirected to cause notice of said proposal in ation once, not less than seven (7) days and not more at all as specified in Section 362.3 and Section 414.4 or	held g, Jr. will the than		
FORM	APPROV	ED:	Lanla	l.		MOVED BY TO ADOP	PT.		
Glenna	K. Frank,	Assista	nt City A	Attorney	7	(ZON2017-00141)			
COUNCI	L ACTION	YEAS	NAYS	PASS	ABSENT	CERTIFICATE			
COWNI	E					Y DIAME DAVIN OU OL 1 6 110			
	COLEMAN GATTO GRAY					<ul> <li>I, DIANE RAUH, City Clerk of said City</li> <li>certify that at a meeting of the City Counci</li> <li>City of Des Moines, held on the above date</li> <li>other proceedings the above was adopted.</li> </ul>			
HENSL	EY								
MOORI	E .					IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereus hand and affixed my seal the day and			
WESTE	RGAARD					above written.			
TOTA		<u> </u>		Apr	PROVED	·			
MICTION CAR	KILD			API	TO A ED	1			

Mayor

City Clerk



Date 040ber 137017
Agenda Item 35

October 17, 2017

luli C	Jall #	o recentable	

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Des Moines, Iowa

#### Members:

Communication from the City Plan and Zoning Commission advising that at their October 5, 2017 meeting, the following action was taken regarding a request from GNY Investments, LLC (owner) represented by Jenn Ho Go (officer) to rezone property at 1517 23<sup>rd</sup> Street.

### **COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:**

After public hearing, the members voted 11-0 as follows:

Commission Action:	Yes	Nays	Pass	Absent
Francis Boggus		<u> </u>		X
Dory Briles	Χ			
JoAnne Corigliano	Χ			
David Courard-Hauri				X
Jacqueline Easley	X			
Jann Freed	Χ			
John "Jack" Hilmes	X			
Lisa Howard	Χ			
Carolyn Jenison	Χ			
Greg Jones	Χ			
William Page				
Mike Simonson	X			
Rocky Sposato	Χ			
Steve Wallace	X			
Greg Wattier				X

APPROVAL of Part A) the proposed "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District is not in conformance with the existing PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow future land use designation of Low Density Residential, **DENIAL** of Part B) the proposed amendment of the PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow future land use designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential and **DENIAL** of Part C) the proposed rezoning

of property from "R1-60" One-Family Low-Density Residential District to "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District. (21-2017-4.09 & ZON2017-00141)

### Written Responses

1 in Favor

2 in Opposition

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Part A) Staff recommends that the Commission find the proposed "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District is not in conformance with the existing PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow future land use designation of Low Density Residential.

Part B) Staff recommends denial of the proposed amendment of the PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow future land use designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential.

Part C) Staff recommends denial of the proposed rezoning of property from "R1-60" One-Family Low-Density Residential District to "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District.

## STAFF REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION

# I. GENERAL INFORMATION

- 1. Purpose of Request: The applicant is proposing to re-occupy the structure with four (4) dwelling units after being vacant since the most recent Housing Rental Certificate expired on May 2, 2016.
- 2. Size of Site: 80 feet by 143 feet (10,320 square feet or 0.24 acre).
- 3. Existing Zoning (site): "R1-60" One-Family Low-Density Residential District.
- 4. Existing Land Use (site): The subject property contains a 3,132-square foot structure that was built as a single-family dwelling but was later converted to four (4) dwelling units.

# 5. Adjacent Land Use and Zoning:

North - "R1-60"; Use is single-family residential.

**South** – "R1-60"; Use is single-family residential.

East – "R1-60"; Use is single-family residential.

West - "R1-60"; Use is single-family residential.

**6. General Neighborhood/Area Land Uses:** The subject property is located on the east side of 23<sup>rd</sup> Street to the north of its intersection with Clark Street. The area generally consists of single-family dwellings.

7. Applicable Recognized Neighborhood(s): The subject property is in the Drake Neighborhood. All neighborhoods were notified of the Commission meeting by mailing of the Preliminary Agenda on August 21, 2017. A Final Agenda was mailed to the neighborhood associations on September 1, 2017 and on September 29, 2017. Additionally, separate notifications of the hearing for this specific item were mailed on August 18, 2017 (20 days prior to the September 7 hearing) and on August 28, 2017 (20 days prior to the September 7, 2017 hearing) to the neighborhood association and to the primary titleholder on file with the Polk County Assessor for each property within 250 feet of the site.

All agendas and notices are mailed to the primary contact(s) designated by the recognized neighborhood association to the City of Des Moines Neighborhood Development Division. The Drake Neighborhood Association notices were mailed to Kristina Johnson, 3523 University Avenue, #12A, Des Moines, IA 50311.

The applicant held the required neighborhood meeting on September 10, 2017, and will be available to provide a summary at the hearing.

- 8. Relevant Zoning History: N/A.
- 9. PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow Future Land Use Plan Designation: Low Density Residential.
- 10. Applicable Regulations: Taking into consideration the criteria set forth in Chapter 18B of the lowa Code, the Commission reviews all proposals to amend zoning boundaries or regulations within the City of Des Moines. Such amendments must be in conformance with the comprehensive plan for the City and designed to meet the criteria in 414.3 of the lowa Code. The Commission may make recommendations to the City Council on conditions to be made in addition to the existing regulations so long as the subject property owner agrees to them in writing. The recommendation of the Commission will be forwarded to the City Council.

## II. ADDITIONAL APPLICABLE INFORMATION

1. PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow Future Land Use Plan Designation: The subject property is designated as Low Density Residential, which is described as "areas developed with primarily single-family and two-family residential units with up to 6 dwelling units per net acre. The proposed four (4) dwelling units on a 0.24-acre parcel represents a net density of 16.67 units per acre. Therefore, the proposed "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District would require the future land use designation to be revised to Medium Density Residential, which is described as "areas developed with a mix of single-family, two-family, and multi-family residential, up to 17 dwelling units per net acre."

Staff does not believe that this is an appropriate location for Medium Density Residential. This designation is typically located along corridors and/or transit routes, such as Forest Avenue, University Avenue, and Martin Luther King, Jr. Parkway. Therefore, Staff recommends denial of both the land use plan amendment and the rezoning.

- 25
- 2. Site Plan Requirements: Should the property be rezoned, any multiple-family residential use must be in compliance with a Site Plan under the design guidelines for multiple-family residential, as reviewed and approved by the Plan and Zoning Commission. This Site Plan must comply with all regulations, including those pertaining to landscaping and stormwater management.
- 3. Access or Parking: Should the property be rezoned, any multiple-family residential use must provide a minimum of 6 parking spaces for the proposed 4 dwelling units (1.5 spaces per dwelling unit), including one (1) ADA-compliant space. There is an existing paved area to the rear of the structure that may need to be modified to meet the requirements.
- 4. "R-3" District Bulk Regulations: The Zoning Ordinance requires the following to be provided for multiple-family development within the "R-3" District:
  - Minimum lot area of 10,000 square feet,
  - 2,500 square feet of lot area per dwelling unit,
  - 30-foot building setback from the front property line,
  - Building side yard setbacks totaling 17 feet with no less than 8 feet being provided on one side, and

The subject property measures 10,320 square feet and would comply with these bulk regulations if used for four (4) dwelling units.

- 5. Multiple-Family Residential Design Guidelines: The applicant would be required to submit a site plan and building elevations for review by the Plan and Zoning Commission in accordance with the City's Multiple Family Residential Design Guidelines if the property is rezoned. In acting upon any application for multiple-family dwellings, boarding houses or rooming-houses, the Plan and Zoning Commission shall apply the design guidelines City Code Section 82-213, which are in consideration of the criteria set forth in Chapter 18B of the lowa Code. The decision to approve, approve subject to conditions, or disapprove an application shall be based upon the conformance with the following design standards:
  - a) Architectural character. New developments and alterations to existing development in or adjacent to existing developed areas shall be compatible with the existing architectural character of such areas by using a compatible design. Compatibility may be achieved through techniques such as the repetition of roof lines, the use of similar proportions in building mass and outdoor spaces, similar relationships to the street, similar window and door patterns, and/or the use of building materials that have color shades and textures similar to those existing in the immediate area of the proposed development. Brick and stone masonry shall be considered compatible with wood framing and other materials.
  - b) Building height and mass. Buildings shall be either similar in size and height, or if larger, shall be articulated, setback or subdivided into massing that is proportional to the mass and scale of other structures on the same block and adjoining blocks. Articulation may be achieved through variation of roof lines,

25

setbacks, patterns of door and window placement, and the use of characteristic entry features. To the maximum extent feasible, the height, setback and width of new buildings and alterations to existing buildings should be similar to those of existing buildings on the same block. Taller buildings or portions of buildings should be located interior to the site. Buildings at the ends of blocks should be of similar height to buildings on the adjoining blocks.

- c) Building orientation. To the maximum extent feasible, primary facades and entries shall face the adjacent public street. A main entrance should face a connecting walkway with a direct pedestrian connection to the public street without requiring all pedestrians to walk through parking lots or across driveways.
- d) Garage access/location. If the prominent character of garage access and/or location is located to the rear of the properties in the surrounding neighborhood, then new construction should be compatible with such character.
- e) Rooftop/second story additions. A rooftop or second floor addition, including but not limited to stairs and emergency egress, should not overhang the front or sidewalls of the existing building.
- f) Emergency egress. All stairs and means of emergency egress extending more than 15 feet above grade and visible from the adjoining street should be completely enclosed with materials compatible in color and texture with the balance of the building.
- g) Parking. Parking lots containing more than eight parking spaces should comply with the adopted landscape standards applicable to commercial development in the C-1 district.

## **SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION**

Jason Van Essen presented the staff report and recommendation.

John "Jack" Hilmes asked if the storage building was part of the site.

Jason Van Essen stated yes, there are three storage buildings that are part of the site.

<u>Jacqueline Easley</u> asked if there were two entrances for the property.

Jason Van Essen stated there are a couple of doorways on the front of the structure.

Corrine Yap and Jen Ho stated this property is set up as a 4-plex with 2 units on the first and second level. Each unit has 2 bedrooms, 1 full bathroom, 1 kitchen,1 living room and 2 exits per unit. Each unit is roughly 740 to 800 square feet with their own electric meters. The rental certificate wasn't maintained and the property sat vacant for more than 6 months. This property sits close to Drake University and housing is expensive for college students. Their target market is Drake students so they can offer them affordable housing. If this property is only allowed to have one dwelling unit, they believe not many people will be able to afford a rate of approximately one dollar per square foot. With each rental

property, they will repaint, add new fixtures in the kitchen and bathroom if needed and make sure the floors are in good condition.

Mike Simonson asked how long the applicant has owned this property.

Corrine Yap stated they bought the property in the summer of 2017.

<u>JoAnne Corigliano</u> asked if they presented their plan to the Drake Neighborhood Association.

Jen Ho stated they held a meeting at the site as requested. No one attended.

# CHAIRPERSON OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING

<u>Jennifer Sayers</u> 1129 28<sup>th</sup> vice president of the Drake Neighborhood Association stated they have not heard from the applicant. She has visited the property personally and believes this can be rehabbed as a single-family home. The applicant's request does not fit into the Drake Neighborhood Plan.

<u>Deric Gourd</u> 2422 Drake Park stated they aren't opposed to density but would like the density to be smart and along the major transportation corridors. What we see with these types of properties, is owners taking every square foot they can to add living space, therefore being able to cram as many people in there as possible. He believes this property can be converted back into a single-family residence and would be a wonderful addition.

<u>Corrine Yap</u> stated she contacted Christina Johnson with the Drake Neighborhood Association and Ms. Johnson stated that she could not approve the plans because of the location of the property.

<u>Jen Ho</u> added there is off-street parking behind the property with enough stalls for each resident.

Jann Freed asked if they bought this property because it previously had four units.

Corrine Yap stated they would not have bought this property if it was only allowed to be a single-family use. This property was presented to them as a 4-plex.

John "Jack" Hilmes asked were they aware of the Drake Neighborhood plans at the time of purchase.

Corrine Yap stated they were not.

John "Jack" Hilmes asked if there was a realtor involved.

Corrine Yap stated no.

John "Jack: Hilmes asked if a lawyer was involved in the transaction.

Corrine Yap stated yes.

John "Jack" Hilmes asked if the attorney rendered a title opinion for them.

Corrine Yap stated yes.

<u>John "Jack" Hilmes</u> asked staff if the condition of a rental certificate was available to the public.

<u>Mike Ludwig</u> stated yes, through the Community Development Department, Neighborhood Inspection Division.

## CHAIRPERSON CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING

<u>JoAnne Corigliano</u> stated she couldn't support a 4-plex in that area. She believes a duplex might be better than a 4-plex, but believes a single-family dwelling would be the best use.

<u>Carolyn Jenison</u> stated the Drake Neighborhood has worked real hard to rezone and the Commission should support their efforts.

John "Jack" Hilmes stated its frustrating when incorrect information and professional advice are given. However, he will support the recommendation of the board.

## **COMMISSION ACTION:**

<u>Carolyn Jenison</u> moved staff recommendation Part A) to find the proposed "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District is not in conformance with the existing PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow future land use designation of Low Density Residential, denial of Part B) the proposed amendment of the PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow future land use designation from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential and denial of Part C) the proposed rezoning of property from "R1-60" One-Family Low-Density Residential District to "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District.


Motion Passed: 11-0.

Respectfully submitted,


Michael Ludwig, AICP Planning Administrator

MGL:tjh Attachments

GNY Investments, LLC (own at 1517 23rd Street.	er) represented b	y Jenn Ho Go (offi	cer) for property	File # ZON2017-00141				
Description Denial of the proposed rezoning of property from "R1-60" One-Family Low-Density Residential District to "R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District, to allow for use of property for a four-unit multiple-family dwelling.								
PlanDSM Future Land Use	Current: Low Density Residential. Proposed: Medium Density Residential.							
Mobilizing Tomorrow Transportation Plan	No planned improvements.							
Current Zoning District	"R1-60" One-Family Low-Density Residential District and "FSO" Freestanding Signs Overlay District.							
Proposed Zoning District	"R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District and "FSO" Freestanding Signs Overlay District.							
Consent Card Responses	In Favor	Not In Favor	Undetermined	% Opposition				
Subject Property Outside Area (200 feet)	1	2						
Plan and Zoning App Commission Action Den	roval ial 11-0	Required 6/ the City Cor		X				



GNY Investments, LLC (owner) represented by Jer at 1517 23rd Street.					n Ho Go (offic	er) for property	File # 21-2017-4.09		
Description of Action	Denial of the designation fr	proposed om Low	proposed amendment of the PlanDSM Creating Our Tomorrow future land use om Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential.						
PlanDSM Futu	Current: Low Density Residential. Proposed: Medium Density Residential.								
Mobilizing Tomorrow Transportation Plan		No planned improvements.							
Current Zoning District		"R1-60" One-Family Low-Density Residential District and "FSO" Freestanding Signs Overlay District.							
Proposed Zoning District		"R-3" Multiple-Family Residential District and "FSO" Freestanding Signs Overlage District.							
Consent Card Responses		In Favor		No	t in Favor	Undetermined	% Opposition		
Subject Property Outside Area (200 feet)		1		2					
Plan and Zon Commission	oval ial	11-0		Required 6/7 Vote of the City Council No		X			



Reason for opposing or approving this request may be listed below. The last tenant were drug healers, prostituites i alreadics. They were lind I diverget full to the Meighborhood. They would come knocking on my hoor asking for mores or rides to the storeget. The owners don't coure as ling as they make winney	ItemZON 2017-00141  I (am) (am log) in favor of the request.  I (am) (am log) in favor of the request.  COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  Signature  Address  1500 3340.84	terp Cleaning to The me is a bor hood.	(Circle One)  RECEIVED  Print Name Leann's De Joe de  COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENTATURE  SEP 0.7 2017  Address 2501 E. LERH AJE 50720  Reason for opposing or approving this request may be listed below.	Ite 70N2017-00141 Date 9-4-17
1	Item70N 017-00	)141 Date_	8/29/17	
,	I (am) (am lot) in favor	•	7	
	(Circle One)	Print Name Stelle	OFF	
	COMMUNITY DEVELO	210,	The	
	SET 1 2 2017	Address 150 Z	ZZnn ST	
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Reason for opposing of	r approving this request ma	y be listed below.	
	Sincle Fa	nul Dweller	2 its	<del>-</del>
	already	Crazy eno	ngt or the	<u>2e</u> _
	Streets M	n the ave	er - + how	
	unout Sp.	Babies Here	+ Caro Race	By
				•
		, ~		

21-2017-4.09